

ADDENDUM NO. 1

ISSUE DATE: March 24, 2014

RFQ 484-040714TIA: Engineering Design Services for TIA Projects

In the event of a conflict between previously released information and the information contained herein, the latter shall control.

Firm Name _____

Signature _____ Date _____

Typed Name and Title _____

This Addendum, including all questions and answers, shall become and form a part of the original RFQ package and shall be taken into account when preparing your proposal.

The purpose of this addendum is to provide the answers to the written questions received during the question and answer period of the RFQ Phase, as follows:

I. Questions and Answers:

	Questions	Answers
1	The budgeted amounts shown for PE do not seem to accurately reflect the amount of work needed to complete the anticipated scope of work for some of the contracts, based on the project information provided in the electronic TIA folder. Can you please provide clarification on the actual scope of work required for each contract and/or what work has already been completed to date on the projects, if any?	The scope for each project is to meet the stated benefits indicated in the final investment report within the overall budget set for the project. It is GDOT's obligation to design and build these projects under these parameters. Further GDOT expects firms to demonstrate, as part of their qualifications, their ability to accomplish a design within the total project budget.
2	Previous TIA projects have capped the consultant firms overhead rate at 150%. Will the overhead rate be capped at 150% for these five projects	Yes. Overhead for both Prime and Sub-Consultants will be capped at 150%.
3	Would you please provide Exhibit VI/Page 30 of RFQ in Excel format. It is not posted with advertisement.	EXHIBIT VI in Excel format has been posted to the Georgia Procurement Registry.
4	During the pre-proposal meeting they mentioned that on project 4 they had preliminary bridge plans, are they going to make those and any environmental documents available to the consultant? Any previous information on either project would be beneficial to us.	All available information, including a preliminary layout for the bridge, has been provided on the GDOT Public Download site as indicated in the RFQ.
5	On page 6 of the RFQ, VI. Instructions for Content ..., first sentence: when you say "must be organized, categorized using the same headings (in red,)" do you mean we have to go by the red headings in the RFP, OR do you mean that when we include these headings in our submittal they have to be in red – just like in the RFQ?	You should organize as indicated by the red headings. You do not have to use red headings in the RFQ itself.